

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/662,697 William J. Boyle ACS 65470 (2309D) 09/15/2003 9777 **EXAMINER** 24201 7590 12/22/2005 **FULWIDER PATTON** WEBB, SARAH K 6060 CENTER DRIVE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **10TH FLOOR** LOS ANGELES, CA 90045 3731

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	O
		10/662,69	7	BOYLE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Sarah K. V	Vebb	3731	
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communication	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED WHICHEVER IS Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT If NO period for rep Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR SLONGER, FROM THE MAI may be available under the provisions of THS from the mailing date of this community is specified above, the maximum statution the set or extended period for reply will by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATIOnt, however, may a reply be to leave the second ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this closed in	ve to communication(s) filed on is FINAL . 2b application is in condition for accordance with the practice	This action is not allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pi		merits is
Disposition of Cla	ims				
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	35-74 is/are pending in the algorithm and above claim(s) is/are is/are allowed. 35-74 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cor		•	
Application Paper	'S				
10) The drawi Applicant Replacem	fication is objected to by the ng(s) filed on is/are: a may not request that any objectient drawing sheet(s) including the declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. So ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35	J.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	,	-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 10/11/05 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent 6,569,184 to Huter has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 35-40,42-50, and 52-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,171,327 to Daniel et al.

Daniels illustrates a catheter system in Figures 20-23 that is designed for recovery of an embolic filter (21) that is disposed on a guide wire (26). The retrieval device includes an inner catheter (172 in Figure 20 or 372 in Figure 23) that extends distally beyond a recovery sheath (151). Claims 36,46,56,57 are significantly broad enough to encompass any length of either catheter. The recovery sheath (151) tracks over the distal portion of the inner catheter to retrieve the filter, as shown in Figure 19. Daniels explains that the distal portion of the inner catheter is made of flexible material (column 8, lines 61-67). As evidenced by the fact that the recovery sheath (151) is capable of deforming the distal end (180,280) of the inner catheter when pushed distally to retrieve the filter, the distal portion of the inner catheter is more flexible than the recovery sheath (151). Each catheter has a control handle attached to its proximal end, and the handles are illustrated in Figures 24-26. Control handle

Application/Control Number: 10/662,697

Art Unit: 3731

702 is connected to the proximal end of the recovery sheath (151) and control handle 710 is connected to the proximal end of the inner catheter (372).

Inner catheter (372) can be locked onto the guide wire (26) by way of a threaded connection between the handle (710) and a locking mechanism that includes a guide wire clamp (720) and a collet (718). The recovery sheath control handle (702) is locked with the inner catheter control handle (710) by a stop (708) that prevents the handles (702,710) from becoming separated but allows the handles to slide relative to one another.

Regarding claims 36,46,56, and 57, the language "may be up to", "may be up to approximately", and "may extend up to" is significantly broad to include any length less than the stated dimension. Therefore, the Daniel device meets this limitation, since the recovery sheath is clearly shorter than the inner catheter.

Daniel discloses steps of using the device in column 10 that include advancing the inner catheter and recovery sheath over a guide wire, locking the inner catheter to the guide wire, advancing the recovery sheath over the filter to collapse it, and removing the entire system from the patient's body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 41 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel in view of US Patent No. 5,201,757 to Heyn et al.

Daniel includes all the limitations of claims 41 and 51, except that the position of the handles is switched so that control handle of the recovery sheath is coaxially

Art Unit: 3731

disposed within the lumen of the control handle of the inner catheter. Heyn discloses a device with control handles for sheaths that move relative to one another. Heyn teaches that the control handle (60) for the inner catheter (44) can be disposed within the control handle (56) of the outer sheath (20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to simply rearrange the control handles of Daniel so that the control handle of the inner catheter is disposed within the lumen of the recovery sheath handle, as Heyn teaches that this is an alternate way to configure control handles of relatively moving sheaths.

4. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniels et al. Daniels fails to state that the inner catheter has greater column strength than the recovery sheath. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the inner catheter to have greater column strength than the recovery sheath, because applicant has not disclosed that the combination of these material properties provide an advantage or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with the combination of material properties disclosed by Daniels, because the Daniels device achieves the same objective of tracking a recovery sheath over an inner catheter to retrieve a filter.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/11/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the recovery sheath does not "track" over the distal portion of the inner catheter (172) because the distal tip of the catheter is

tapered. The distal portion (172,180) is part of the inner catheter, which is a flexible tube. Examiner does not consider only the tip of the inner catheter to be the distal portion of the inner catheter. The "distal portion" can be any length of the inner catheter distal to the most proximal point. Therefore, the Daniels device includes a length of the inner catheter that is at least as long as the filter. The recovery sheath does "move over" the entire inner catheter, so this argument is not found to be persuasive.

Applicant argues that the distal portion of the inner catheter of the Daniels 6. device does not "reduce the possibility that the recovery sheath will straighten the body vessel..." Daniels is not required to explicitly state this characteristic of the device. Daniels is only required to meet the structural requirements of the claims. Claim 35 recites "inner catheter has sufficient length to allow the distal end of the recovery sheath to track thereover to reduce the possibility..." The claims nor the specification set forth any further characteristics that a device must have in order to be capable of this function. Since Daniels includes all of the structural requirements of the claims, Daniels is considered to meet the claim limitations.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in 7. this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/662,697 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K. Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW 12/19/05 Julian M-Moo

JULIAN W. WOO PRIMARY EXAMINER